UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,845	09/05/2006	Yoshikatsu Ito	2006_1475A	3321
	7590 11/26/200 , LIND & PONACK L		2006_1475A 3321 EXAMINER EDUN, MOHAMMAD N ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2627	INER
2033 K. STREET, NW			EDUN, MOHAMMAD N	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/591,845	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MUHAMMAD N. EDUN	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 9-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority and an 25 LLC C S 440(a)	(d) on (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<u></u>	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•		Clago			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	,					
Attachment(s)	🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 16 and 17 are drawn to a "computer program" *per se*, therefore, fail(s) to fall within a statutory category of invention.

A claim directed to a computer program itself is non-statutory because it is not:

A process occurring as a result of executing the program, or

A machine programmed to operate in accordance with the program, or

A manufacture structurally and functionally interconnected with the program in a manner which enable the program to act as a computer component and realize its functionality, or

A composition of matter.

See MPEP § 2106.01. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer readable media are descriptive material *per se* and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at

1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure *per se* held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Similarly, computer programs claimed as computer listings *per se*, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not

"acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and

functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a

computer, which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Claims 1, 2, 8, 15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herpel et al. (EPA 1 045 388).

Herpel et al. discloses the invention as claims. The reference show the content moving device for moving stored content to a portable recording medium, comprising: a storage unit storing original content (see column 3, lines 12-15 that describes storing the content in a secondary device); a generation unit operable to perform irreversible conversion on the original content, which lowers a quality thereof, to generate converted content (see column 3, lines 15-19 that describes decrypting the content that is encrypted or partially encrypted); an extraction unit operable to extract partial data which is part of the original content (see column 3, lines 19-20 that describes removing the content descriptor which is part of the original content); a replacing unit operable to replace a portion of the original content corresponding to the extracted partial data with different data (see column 3, lines 20-24 that describes generating a new decryption key for the content); and a write unit operable to write the converted content and the extracted partial data to the recording medium (see lines 3, 21-23 that describes inserting the new decryption key in the copied content descriptor, which is taken to be replacing the original decryption key with the new decryption key in the content of the recording medium), as set forth in the claims.

The reference further teaches: the partial data (decryption key) is of a predetermined length from a predetermined position of the original content (taken to be inherent since it is described in section [0020] that it consists of a number of flags which would relate to the length, and further it is inherent to have the data located or recorded

at a predetermined address (position) on the recording medium), as set forth in claims 2 and 8; and the recording medium, as set forth in claim 18 (see column 1, lines 10-20 that describes the storage media can be for example a disk or tape), also it should be noted that since there is no structure recited relating to the medium, it is taken that any recording medium capable of storing information would meet the language of the claim. See also the description of the invention of Herpel et al. for further details relating to the limitations as set forth in the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 and 9-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record alone or in combination does not teach or suggest the content moving device of claim 1 having the further limitations as set forth in claims 3-7 and 9-14.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Phillipo et al. (US 2005/0265193) and Shitara et al. (US 2005/0007925), both disclose an apparatus capable of preventing illegal copy of a recording medium having the ability of identifying and updating the number of copies of the content recorded on the medium.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD N. EDUN whose telephone number is 571-272-7617. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEXITIME.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,845 Page 7

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MUHAMMAD N EDUN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627